

Regularity of Harmonic Functions for a Class of Singular Stable-like Processes

Richard F. Bass¹ and Zhen-Qing Chen²

Department of Mathematics
University of Connecticut
Storrs, CT 06269-3009, USA
bass@math.uconn.edu

Department of Mathematics
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195, USA
zchen@math.washington.edu

(April 21, 2009)

Abstract

We consider the system of stochastic differential equations

$$dX_t = A(X_{t-}) dZ_t,$$

where $Z_t^1, \dots, Z_t^d$ are independent one-dimensional symmetric stable processes of order α , and the matrix-valued function A is bounded, continuous and everywhere non-degenerate. We show that bounded harmonic functions associated with X are Hölder continuous, but a Harnack inequality need not hold. The Lévy measure associated with the vector-valued process Z is highly singular.

AMS 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60H10; Secondary 31B05, 60G52, 60J75

Keywords: Stable-like process, pseudo-differential operator, harmonic function, Hölder continuity, support theorem, Krylov-Safonov technique, Harnack inequality

1 Introduction

A one-dimensional symmetric stable process of index $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ is the Lévy process taking values in $\mathbb{R}$ with no drift, no Gaussian part, and Lévy measure

$$n(dh) = c_1/|h|^{1+\alpha} dh.$$

Let $Z_t = (Z_t^1, \dots, Z_t^d)$ be a vector of d independent one-dimensional symmetric stable processes of index α . Consider the system of stochastic differential equations

$$dX_t^i = \sum_{j=1}^d A_{ij}(X_{t-}) dZ_t^j, \quad X_0^i = x_0^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, d, \quad (1.1)$$

where $x_0 = (x_0^1, \dots, x_0^d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $A(x)$ is a bounded $d \times d$ matrix-valued function that is continuous in x and everywhere non-degenerate, that is, the determinant $\det(A(x)) \neq 0$ for all x . The main

¹Research partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0601783.

²Research partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0600206.

result of [2] is that under these conditions there is a unique weak solution to the system (1.1) and the family $\{X, \mathbb{P}^{x_0}, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ forms a strong Markov process on $\mathbb{R}^d$. The process X may be referred to as stable-like because it possesses an approximate scaling property similar to the stable processes; see [4] and [5] for other examples where the term stable-like has been used. The system (1.1) has been suggested as a possible model for a financial market with jumps in the security prices ([6]). Note that by Proposition 4.1 of [2], the infinitesimal generator of the Markov process X determined by (1.1) is

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} (f(x + a_j(x)w) - f(x) - w1_{\{|w| \leq 1\}} \nabla f(x) \cdot a_j(x)) \frac{c_1}{|w|^{1+\alpha}} dw, \quad (1.2)$$

where $a_j(x)$ is the j^{th} column of the matrix $A(x)$. Associated with the operator $\mathcal{L}$ is the symbol

$$\ell(x, u) := c_2 \sum_{j=1}^d |u \cdot a_j(x)|^\alpha, \quad x, u \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

This means

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \ell(x, u) e^{-iu \cdot x} \widehat{f}(u) du,$$

where $\widehat{f}$ denotes the Fourier transform of f . This is an example of a pseudodifferential operator with singular state-dependent symbol.

We say that a function h that is bounded in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is harmonic (with respect to X) in a domain D if $h(X_{t \wedge \tau_D})$ is a martingale with respect to $\mathbb{P}^x$ for every $x \in D$, where τ_D is the time of first exit from D . The process X is shown to have no explosions in finite time in [2] and when D is bounded, it is easy to see from (1.1) that $\mathbb{P}^x(\tau_D < \infty) = 1$ for every $x \in D$. So by the bounded convergence theorem and the strong Markov property of X , a bounded function h on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is harmonic in a bounded domain D if and only if

$$h(x) = \mathbb{E}^x[h(X_{\tau_D})] \quad \text{for every } x \in D.$$

Consequently, every bounded harmonic function in a bounded domain D is the difference of two non-negative bounded harmonic functions in D . It follows from Proposition 4.1 of [2] that a bounded C^2 function u is harmonic in D if and only if $\mathcal{L}u = 0$ in D .

The main goal of this paper is to prove the Hölder continuity of functions which are bounded and harmonic with respect to X in a domain.

There are two reasons why the Hölder continuity is perhaps a bit unexpected. Consider the case where A is identically equal to the identity matrix, and so $X \equiv Z$. Even in this case a Harnack inequality may fail; see Section 3. Nevertheless the Hölder continuity of the harmonic functions holds. The other reason is that the process Z is quite singular. It is a Lévy process, but the support of its Lévy measure is the union of the coordinate axes. By contrast, the support of the Lévy measure for a d -dimensional (rotationally) symmetric stable process is all of $\mathbb{R}^d$, a much more tractable situation.

The key to our method is the technique of Krylov-Safonov as given, for example, in the exposition in [1]. The most difficult step in our proof is the proof of a support theorem for X ; this is given in Section 2. We remark that the current paper is the first one where the full strength of the Krylov-Safonov technique has been used in the context of pure jump processes.

For a Borel subset $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, let $T_C := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t \in C\}$ and $\tau_C := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t \notin C\}$ be the first entrance and departure time of C by X . Let $|C|$ denote the Lebesgue measure of a Borel set

C . The open ball of radius r centered at x will be denoted as $B(x, r)$. The paths of Z_t are right continuous with left limits. We write

$$Z_{t-} := \lim_{s \uparrow t, s < t} Z_s, \quad \Delta Z_t := Z_t - Z_{t-},$$

and similarly X_{t-} and ΔX_t . The letter c with a subscript denotes a positive finite constant whose exact value is unimportant and may vary from one usage to the next. Constant c typically depends on α and d , but for convenience this dependence will not be explicitly mentioned throughout the paper.

2 Regularity

For $1 \leq i \leq d$, denote by e_i the unit vector in the x_i direction in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and let $B = B(x_0, 1)$. For simplicity, we write τ for τ_B . We will use $A(x)^{-1}$ to denote the inverse matrix of $A(x)$.

Proposition 2.1 *There exist positive constants c_1, c_2 that depend only on the upper bound of $A(x)$ and $A(x)^{-1}$ on B such that*

- (a) $\mathbb{E}^x[\tau] \leq c_1$ for all $x \in B$;
- (b) $\mathbb{E}^x[\tau] \geq c_2$ for all $x \in B(x_0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Proof. (a) Let $A_0 = \inf\{|A(x)(e_1)| : x \in \overline{B}\}$. We know $A_0 > 0$ because $A(x)$ is continuous in x and nondegenerate for each x . Since the Z^i 's are independent one-dimensional symmetric α -stable process, no two of them make a jump at the same time. So there exists a positive constant c_3 such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\exists s \leq 1 : \Delta Z_s^1 > 3/A_0 \text{ but } \Delta Z_s^k = 0 \text{ for } k = 2, \dots, d\right) \geq c_3.$$

Suppose there exists $s \in [0, 1]$ such that $\Delta Z_s^1 > 3/A_0$, $\Delta Z_s^k = 0$ for $k = 2, \dots, d$, and $X_{s-} \in B$. Then by (1.1)

$$|\Delta X_s^1| = |\Delta Z_s^1| |A(X_{s-})e_1| > 3$$

if $X_{s-} \in \overline{B}$. So with probability at least c_3 , X will have left B by time 1. Hence if $x \in B$,

$$\mathbb{P}^x(\tau > 1) \leq 1 - c_3.$$

Let $\{\theta_t, t > 0\}$ denotes the usual shift operators for X . By the Markov property,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^x(\tau > m+1) &\leq \mathbb{P}^x(\tau > m, \tau \circ \theta_m > 1) \\ &= \mathbb{E}^x[\mathbb{P}^{X_m}(\tau > 1); \tau > m] \\ &\leq (1 - c_3)\mathbb{P}^x(\tau > m). \end{aligned}$$

By induction,

$$\mathbb{P}^x(\tau > m) \leq (1 - c_3)^m,$$

and (a) follows.

(b) Let

$$\tilde{Z}_t^i := \sum_{s \leq t} \Delta Z_s^i 1_{(|\Delta Z_s^i| > 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{Z}_t^i := Z_t^i - \tilde{Z}_t^i.$$

Note

$$\mathbb{E}[\bar{Z}^i, \bar{Z}^i]_t = t \int_{-\beta}^{\beta} x^2 \frac{c_4}{|x|^{1+\alpha}} dx = c_5 t \beta^{2-\alpha}.$$

Let $\bar{X}$ solve

$$d\bar{X}_t = A(\bar{X}_t) d\bar{Z}_t.$$

Note that for each $i = 1, \dots, d$, $\bar{X}^i$ is a purely discontinuous square integrable martingale with $|\Delta \bar{X}_t^i| \leq c_6 \sum_{j=1}^d |\Delta \bar{Z}_t^j|$. Hence

$$[\bar{X}^i, \bar{X}^i]_t \leq c_7 \sum_{j=1}^d [\bar{Z}^j, \bar{Z}^j]_t.$$

First by Chebyshev's inequality and then by Doob's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^x \left(\sup_{s \leq t} |\bar{X}_s^i - \bar{X}_0^i| > \frac{1}{4d} \right) &\leq 16d^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \leq t} |\bar{X}_s^i - \bar{X}_0^i|^2 \right] \\ &\leq 64d^2 \mathbb{E} \left[(\bar{X}_t^i - \bar{X}_0^i)^2 \right] \\ &= 64d^2 \mathbb{E} [\bar{X}^i, \bar{X}^i]_t \\ &\leq c_8 \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{E} [\bar{Z}^j, \bar{Z}^j]_t \\ &\leq c_9 t. \end{aligned}$$

Choose t small so that $c_9 t \leq 1/4$.

We can choose t smaller if necessary so that

$$\mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_s^j \neq 0 \text{ for some } s \in [0, t]) \leq 1/(4d).$$

So there exists t such that $\mathbb{P}(\bar{Z}_s \neq Z_s \text{ for some } s \in [0, t]) \leq 1/4$, and it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(\bar{X}_s \neq X_s \text{ for some } s \in [0, t]) \leq 1/4.$$

Therefore with probability at least $1/2$ we have $\sup_{s \leq t} |X_s - X_0| \leq 1/4$ and so in particular

$$\mathbb{P}^x(\tau > t) \geq 1/2 \quad \text{for } x \in B(x_0, \frac{1}{2}).$$

Consequently, we have $\mathbb{E}^x \tau \geq t \mathbb{P}^x(\tau \geq t) \geq t/2$ for $x \in B(x, \frac{1}{2})$. □

Proposition 2.2 *There exist constants $\eta_0 > 0$, $p_0 \geq 2$, and c_1 that depend only on the upper bound of $A(x)$ and $A(x)^{-1}$ on B such that if the oscillation of A on $B(x_0, 1)$ is less than η_0 , then*

$$\mathbb{E}^x \left[\int_0^\tau 1_C(X_s) ds \right] \leq c_1 |C|^{1/p_0}, \quad x \in B.$$

Proof. Note that the process $\{X_t, t \leq \tau\}$ is determined by the matrix A on B only. Without loss of generality, for this proof we redefine A for $x \notin B$ so that A is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and

$$\eta := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|A(x) - A(x_0)\| = \sup_{x \in B} \|A(x) - A(x_0)\|.$$

Let R_λ and $\mathcal{L}_0$ be the resolvent and infinitesimal generator of the Levy process $Y_t = Y_0 + A(x_0)Z_t$, $\mathcal{L}$ the infinitesimal generator of X , S_λ the resolvent of X , and $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_0$. There exist $\eta_0 > 0$ and $p_0 \geq 2$ so that the conclusion of Proposition 5.2 of [2] holds, namely, $\|\mathcal{B}R_\lambda f\|_{p_0} \leq \frac{1}{4}\|f\|_{p_0}$. For $f \in L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, set $h = f - \lambda R_\lambda f$. Note that $R_\lambda f = R_0 h$ and $\|h\|_{p_0} \leq 2\|f\|_{p_0}$. Hence for $\eta < \eta_0$, by [2, Proposition 5.2]

$$\|\mathcal{B}R_\lambda f\|_{p_0} = \|\mathcal{B}R_0 h\|_{p_0} \leq \frac{1}{4}\|h\|_{p_0} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|f\|_{p_0}.$$

Moreover by [2, Proposition 2.2],

$$\|R_\lambda f\|_\infty \leq c_2\|f\|_{p_0}.$$

It follows from [2, Proposition 6.1] that

$$S_\lambda f = R_\lambda \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{B}R_\lambda)^i \right) f$$

for $f \in L^{p_0}$ and therefore

$$\|S_\lambda f\|_\infty = \left\| R_\lambda \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{B}R_\lambda)^i \right) f \right\|_\infty \leq c_2 \left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{B}R_\lambda)^i \right) f \right\|_{p_0} \leq 2c_2\|f\|_{p_0}.$$

If we apply this to $f = 1_C$, where $C \subset B$, then

$$\mathbb{E}^x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} 1_C(X_t) dt \right] \leq 2c_2|C|^{1/p_0}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let $M = \sup_{x \in B} \mathbb{E}^x \left[\int_0^\tau 1_C(X_s) ds \right]$. Clearly $M \leq \sup_{x \in B} \mathbb{E}^x [\tau]$, which is finite by Proposition 2.1. By taking t_1 sufficiently large,

$$\mathbb{P}^x(\tau \geq t_1) \leq \frac{\sup_{x \in B} \mathbb{E}^x[\tau]}{t_1} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^x \left[\int_0^\tau 1_C(X_s) ds \right] &\leq \mathbb{E}^x \left[\int_0^{t_1} 1_C(X_s) ds \right] + \mathbb{E}^x \left[\int_{t_1}^\tau 1_C(X_s) ds; \tau \geq t_1 \right] \\ &\leq e^{\lambda t_1} S_\lambda 1_C(x) + \mathbb{E}^x \left[\mathbb{E}^{X_{t_1}} \left[\int_0^\tau 1_C(X_s) ds \right]; \tau \geq t_1 \right] \\ &\leq c_3|C|^{1/p_0} + M\mathbb{P}^x(\tau \geq t_1). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over x , we have

$$M \leq c_3|C|^{1/p_0} + \frac{1}{2}M,$$

and our result follows. $\square$

We now prove a support theorem for X . First we prove some lemmas.

Lemma 2.3 *Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $1 \leq k \leq d$, $v_k = A(x_0)e_k$, $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, $t_0 > 0$, and $r \in [-1, 1]$. There exists c_1 depending only on γ , t_0 , r , and the upper bounds and modulus of continuity of $A(\cdot)$ in $B(x_0, 2)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^{x_0}(\text{there exists a stopping time } T \leq t_0 \text{ such that} \\ \sup_{s < T} |X_s - x_0| < \gamma \text{ and } \sup_{T \leq s \leq t_0} |X_s - (x_0 + rv_k)| < \gamma) \geq c_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. Let $\|A\|_\infty := 1 \vee \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^d \sup_{x \in B(x_0, 2)} |A_{ij}(x)| \right)$. We do the case where $r \geq 0$, the other case being similar. We first suppose $r \geq \gamma/3$. Let $\beta \in (0, r)$ be chosen later, let

$$\tilde{Z}_t^i = \sum_{s \leq t} \Delta Z_s^i 1_{(|\Delta Z_s^i| > \beta)}, \quad \bar{Z}_t^i = Z_t^i - \tilde{Z}_t^i,$$

and let $\bar{X}$ be the solution to

$$d\bar{X}_s = A(\bar{X}_{s-}) d\bar{Z}_s, \quad \bar{X}_0 = x_0.$$

Choose $\delta < \gamma/(6\|A\|_\infty)$ such that

$$\sup_{i,j} \sup_{|x-x_0| < \delta} |A_{ij}(x) - A_{ij}(x_0)| < \gamma/(12d). \quad (2.3)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \left\{ \sup_{s \leq t_0} |\bar{X}_s - \bar{X}_0| \leq \delta \right\}, \\ D &= \{ \tilde{Z}_s^i = 0 \text{ for all } s \leq t_0 \text{ and } i \neq k, \tilde{Z}_k \text{ has a single jump before time } t_0 \\ &\quad \text{and its size is in } [r, r + \delta] \}, \\ E &= \{ \tilde{Z}_s^i = 0 \text{ for all } s \leq t_0 \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, d \}. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 2.1,

$$\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}^i, \bar{X}^i]_t \leq c_2 \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{E}[\bar{Z}^j, \bar{Z}^j]_t \leq c_3 t \beta^{2-\alpha},$$

and by Chebyshev's inequality and Doob's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{s \leq t_0} |\bar{X}_s^i - \bar{X}_0^i| > \delta/\sqrt{d} \right) &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \leq t_0} (\bar{X}_s^i - \bar{X}_0^i)^2 \right]}{\delta^2/d} \\ &\leq \frac{4\mathbb{E} \left[(\bar{X}_{t_0}^i - \bar{X}_0^i)^2 \right]}{\delta^2/d} \leq \frac{c_4 t_0 \beta^{2-\alpha}}{\delta^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We choose $\beta < r$ so that

$$c_4 t_0 \beta^{2-\alpha} \leq \delta^2/(2d), \quad (2.4)$$

and then $\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(C) \geq 1/2$.

In order for $\tilde{Z}^k$ to have a single jump before time t_0 , and for that jump's size to be in the interval $[r, r + \delta]$, then by time t_0 , (a) $\tilde{Z}^k$ must have no negative jumps; (b) $\tilde{Z}^k$ must have no jumps whose size lies in $[\beta, r)$; (c) $\tilde{Z}^k$ must have no jumps whose size lies in $(r + \delta, \infty)$; and (d) $\tilde{Z}^k$ must have precisely one jump whose size lies in the interval $[r, r + \delta]$. The events described in (a)–(d) are independent and are the probabilities that Poisson random variables of parameters $c_5 t_0 \beta^{-\alpha}$, $c_5 t_0 (\beta^{-\alpha} - r^{-\alpha})$, $c_5 t_0 (r + \delta)^{-\alpha}$, and $c_5 t_0 (r^{-\alpha} - (r + \delta)^{-\alpha})$, respectively, take the values 0, 0, 0, and 1, respectively. For $j \neq k$, the probability that $\tilde{Z}^j$ does not have a jump before time t_0 is the probability that a Poisson random variable with parameter $2c_5 t_0 \beta^{-\alpha}$ is equal to 0. Since the $\tilde{Z}^j$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, are independent, we thus see that the probability of D is bounded below by a

constant depending on r, δ, t_0 and β . Because the $\overline{Z}_t$'s are independent of the $\tilde{Z}^j$'s, then C and D are independent. Therefore

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(C \cap D) \geq c_6/2. \quad (2.5)$$

A similar (but slightly easier) argument shows that

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(C \cap E) \geq c_7. \quad (2.6)$$

If T is the time when $\tilde{Z}^k$ jumps, then $Z_{s-} = \overline{Z}_{s-}$ for $s \leq T$, and hence $X_{s-} = \overline{X}_{s-}$ for $s \leq T$. So up to time T , X_s does not move more than δ away from its starting point. We have

$$\Delta X_T = A(X_{T-})\Delta Z_T,$$

so using (2.3) we have that on $C \cap D$,

$$\begin{aligned} |X_T - (x_0 + rv_k)| &\leq |X_{T-} - x_0| + |\Delta X_T - rv_k| \\ &= |X_{T-} - x_0| + |A(X_{T-})\Delta Z_T - rv_k| \\ &\leq |X_{T-} - x_0| + r|(A(X_{T-}) - A(x_0))e_k| + |A(X_{T-})(\Delta Z_T - re_k)| \\ &\leq \delta + rd\gamma/(12d) + \delta\|A\|_\infty < \gamma/2. \end{aligned}$$

We now apply the strong Markov property at time T . By (2.6), $\mathbb{P}^{X_T}(C \cap E) \geq c_7$ and so

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{T \leq s \leq T+t_0} |X_s - X_T| < \delta \right) \geq c_8.$$

Using the strong Markov property, we have our result with $c_1 = c_7c_8/2$.

If $r < \gamma/3$, the argument is easier. In this case we can take T identically 0, and use (2.6). The details are left to the reader. $\square$

Lemma 2.4 *Suppose u, v are two vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\eta \in (0, 1)$, and p is the projection of v onto u . If $|p| \geq \eta|v|$, then*

$$|v - p| \leq \sqrt{1 - \eta^2} |v|.$$

Proof. Note that the vector $v - p$ is orthogonal to the vector p . So by the Pythagorean theorem, $|v - p|^2 = |v|^2 - |p|^2 \leq (1 - \eta^2)|v|^2$. $\square$

Lemma 2.5 *Suppose the entries of A and A^{-1} are bounded by Λ . Let v be a vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $u_k = Ae_k$, and p_k the projection of v onto u_k for $k = 1, \dots, d$. Then there exists $\rho \in (0, 1)$ depending only on Λ such that for some k ,*

$$|v - p_k| \leq \rho|v|.$$

Proof. Since the entries of A^{-1} are bounded, then $|(A^T)^{-1}w| \leq c_1|w|$. Setting $x = (A^T)^{-1}w$, we see $|A^T x| \geq c_2|x|$ for any vector x .

Let b_k be the projection of $A^T v$ onto e_k . If $|b_k| < (1/d)|A^T v|$ for all k , then

$$|A^T v| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^d b_k \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^d |b_k| < |A^T v|,$$

a contradiction. So for some k , $|b_k| \geq (1/d)|A^T v| \geq c_3|v|$, where $c_3 = c_2/d$. We then write

$$c_3|v| \leq |b_k| = |v^T A e_k| \leq \frac{c_4}{|A e_k|} |v^T A e_k| = c_4 \frac{|v^T u_k|}{|u_k|} = c_4 |p_k|.$$

We now apply Lemma 2.4 with $\eta = c_3/c_4$ and set $\rho = \sqrt{1 - (c_3/c_4)^2}$. $\square$

Lemma 2.6 *Suppose the entries of $A(x)$ and $A(x)^{-1}$ on $B(x_0, 3)$ are bounded by Λ . Let $t_1 > 0$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $r \in (0, \varepsilon/4)$ and $\gamma > 0$. Let $\psi : [0, t_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be a line segment of length r starting at x_0 . Then there exists $c_1 > 0$ that depends only on Λ , the modulus of continuity of $A(x)$ on $B(x_0, 3)$, t_1 , ε and γ such that*

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0} \left(\sup_{s \leq t_1} |X_s - \psi(s)| < \varepsilon \text{ and } |X_{t_1} - \psi(t_1)| < \gamma \right) \geq c_1.$$

Proof. Use the bounds on A in $B(x_0, 2)$ and Lemma 2.5 to define $\rho \in (0, 1)$ so that the conclusion of Lemma 2.5 holds for all matrices $A = A(x)$ with $x \in B(x_0, 2)$. Take $\gamma \in (0, r \wedge \rho)$ smaller if necessary so that $\tilde{\rho} := \gamma + \rho < 1$. Choose $n \geq 2$ large so that $(\tilde{\rho})^n < \gamma$.

Let $v_0 = \psi(t_1) - \psi(t_0) = \psi(t_1) - x_0$, which has length r . By Lemma 2.5, there exists $k_0 \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that if p_0 is the projection of v_0 onto $A(x_0)e_{k_0}$, then $|v_0 - p_0| \leq \rho|v_0|$. Note $|p_0| \leq |v_0| = r$.

Let D_1 denote the event that there is a stopping time $T_0 \leq t_1/n$ such that $|X_s - x_0| < \gamma^{n+1}$ for $s < T_0$ and $|X_s - (x_0 + p_0)| < \gamma^{n+1}$ for $s \in [T_0, t_1/n]$. By Lemma 2.3 there exists $c_2 > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(D_1) \geq c_2$. Note that on D_1 , if $T_0 \leq s \leq t_1/n$,

$$|\psi(t_1) - X_s| \leq |\psi(t_1) - (x_0 + p_0)| + |(x_0 + p_0) - X_{t_1/n}| \leq \rho r + \gamma^{n+1} \leq \tilde{\rho} r. \quad (2.7)$$

Taking $s = t_1/n$, we have

$$|\psi(t_1) - X_{t_1/n}| \leq \tilde{\rho} r.$$

Since $\tilde{\rho} < 1$ and $|\psi(t_1) - x_0| = r$, then (2.7) shows that on D_1 ,

$$X_s \in B(x_0, 2r) \subset B(x_0, \varepsilon/2) \quad \text{if } T_0 \leq s \leq t_1/n.$$

If $0 \leq s < T_0$, then $|X_s - x_0| < \gamma^{n+1} < r$, and so $\{X_s, s \in [0, t_1/n]\} \subset B(x_0, 2r) \subset B(x_0, \varepsilon/2)$.

Now let $v_1 = \psi(t_1) - X_{t_1/n}$. When $X_{t_1/n} \in B(x_0, \varepsilon/2)$, by Lemma 2.5, there exists k_1 such that if p_1 is the projection of v_1 onto $A(X_{t_1/n})e_{k_1}$, then $|v_1 - p_1| \leq \rho|v_1|$. Let D_2 be the event that there exists a stopping time $T_1 \in [t_1/n, 2t_1/n]$ such that $|X_s - X_{t_1/n}| < \gamma^{n+1}$ for $t_1/n \leq s < T_1$ and $|X_s - (X_{t_1/n} + p_1)| < \gamma^{n+1}$ for $T_1 \leq s \leq 2t_1/n$. Using the Markov property at time t_1/n and applying Lemma 2.3 again, there exists (the same) $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(D_2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_1/n}) \geq c_2$$

on the event $\{X_{t_1/n} \in B(x_0, \varepsilon/2)\}$, where $\mathcal{F}_t$ is the minimal augmented filtration for X . So

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(D_1 \cap D_2) \geq c_2 \mathbb{P}^{x_0}(D_1) \geq c_2^2.$$

On the event $D_1 \cap D_2$, if $T_1 \leq s \leq 2t_1/n$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t_1) - X_s| &\leq |\psi(t_1) - (X_{t_1/n} + p_1)| + |(X_{t_1/n} + p_1) - X_s| \\ &\leq \rho|v_1| + \gamma^{n+1} \leq \rho\tilde{\rho}r + \gamma^{n+1} \leq \tilde{\rho}^2 r. \end{aligned}$$

In particular

$$|\psi(t_1) - X_{2t_1/n}| \leq \tilde{\rho}^2 r \quad \text{on } D_1 \cap D_2.$$

If $T_1 \leq s \leq 2t_1/n$, then $|\psi(t_1) - X_s| < r$ and $|\psi(t_1) - x_0| = r$, and so $X_s \in B(x_0, 2r) \subset B(x_0, \varepsilon/2)$. In particular,

$$|X_{2t_1/n} - x_0| < \varepsilon/2 \quad \text{on } D_1 \cap D_2.$$

If $t_1/n \leq s < T_1$, then $|X_s - X_{t_1/n}| < r$ and $|X_{t_1/n} - x_0| < 2r$. So on $D_1 \cap D_2$, $X_s \in B(x_0, 3r) \subset B(x_0, 3\varepsilon/4)$.

Let $v_2 = \psi(t_1) - X_{2t_1/n}$, and proceed as above to get events $D_3, \dots, D_k$. At the k^{th} stage, we have

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(D_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{(k-1)t_1/n}) \geq c_2$$

and so $\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(\cap_{j=1}^k D_j) \geq c_2^k$. On the event $\cap_{j=1}^k D_j$, if $kt_1/n \leq T_k \leq s \leq (k+1)t_1/n$, then

$$|\psi(t_1) - X_s| \leq \tilde{\rho}^{k+1} r < r;$$

since $|\psi(t_1) - x_0| = r$, then $X_s \in B(x_0, 2r) \subset B(x_0, \varepsilon/2)$. At the k^{th} stage, on the event $\cap_{j=1}^k D_j$,

$$|X_{kt_1/n} - x_0| < \varepsilon/2$$

and if $kt_1/n \leq s < T_k$, then

$$|X_s - x_0| \leq |X_s - X_{kt_1/n}| + |X_{kt_1/n} - \psi(t_1)| + |\psi(t_1) - x_0| < \gamma^{n+1} + 2r + r < 3r,$$

and so $X_s \in B(x_0, 3r) \subset B(x_0, 3\varepsilon/4)$.

We continue this procedure n times to get events $D_1, \dots, D_n$ so that on $\cap_{k=1}^n D_k$, we have $X_s \in B(x_0, 3\varepsilon/4)$ for $s \leq t_1$, $|X_{t_1} - \psi(t_1)| < \gamma$, and $\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(\cap_{k=1}^n D_k) \geq c_2^n$. Consequently, on $\cap_{k=1}^n D_k$,

$$|X_s - \psi(s)| \leq |X_s - x_0| + |x_0 - \psi(s)| < 3\varepsilon/4 + r < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } s \in [0, t_1].$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Theorem 2.7 *Suppose the entries of $A(x)$ and $A(x)^{-1}$ on $B(x_0, 3)$ are bounded by Λ . Let $\varphi : [0, t_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be continuous with $\varphi(0) = x_0$ and the image of φ contained in $B(0, 1)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists $c_1 > 0$ depending on Λ , the modulus of continuity of $A(x)$ on $B(x_0, 3)$, φ, ε , and t_0 such that*

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0} \left(\sup_{s \leq t_0} |X_s - \varphi(s)| < \varepsilon \right) > c_1.$$

Proof. We may approximate φ to within $\varepsilon/2$ by a polygonal path, so by changing ε to $\varepsilon/2$, we may without loss of generality assume φ is polygonal. Let us now choose n large and subdivide $[0, t_0]$ into n equal subintervals so that over each subinterval $[kt_0/n, (k+1)t_0/n]$ the image of φ is a line segment of length less than $\varepsilon/4$. We then use Lemma 2.6 and the strong Markov property n times to show that, with probability at least $c_1 > 0$, on each time interval $[kt_0/n, (k+1)t_0/n]$, X_t follows within $\varepsilon/2$ the line segment from $X_{kt_0/n}$ to $\varphi((k+1)t_0/n)$ and is at most $\varepsilon/(4\sqrt{d})$ away from $\varphi((k+1)t_0/n)$. $\square$

Corollary 2.8 *Let $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, 1/4)$. Suppose Q represents either the unit ball or the unit cube, centered at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Suppose the entries of A and A^{-1} on Q are bounded by Λ . Let Q' be the ball (resp., cube) with radius (resp., side length) $1 - \varepsilon$ with the same center. Let R be a ball (resp., cube) of radius (resp., side length) δ contained in Q' . Then there exists $c_1 > 0$ depending on Λ , the modulus of continuity of $A(x)$ on Q , ε and δ such that*

$$\mathbb{P}^x(T_R < \tau_Q) \geq c_1, \quad x \in Q'.$$

Proof. Note that the above probability is determined by the values of the matrix $A(x)$ only on Q so we can redefine $A(x)$ outside of Q if necessary to make the entries of A and A^{-1} on $\mathbb{R}^d$ bounded by Λ , and the modulus of continuity of $A(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ be the same as that on Q . To prove the corollary, we need only observe that the estimates in Theorem 2.7 can be made to hold uniformly over every line segment from x to y , with $x \in Q'$ and y being the center of R . $\square$

A scaling argument shows that for $\lambda > 0$, $\{\hat{X}_t := \lambda X_{t/\lambda^\alpha}, t \geq 0\}$ is a process of the same type as X . More precisely, one can show that there exist d independent one-dimensional symmetric stable processes $\hat{Z}^j$ of index α such that $\hat{X}$ satisfies

$$d\hat{X}_t^i = \sum_{j=1}^d \hat{A}_{ij}(\hat{X}_t) dZ_t^j, \quad \hat{X}_0^i = \lambda x_0^i,$$

where $\hat{A}_{ij}(x) = A_{ij}(x/\lambda)$. Note in particular that when $\lambda \geq 1$, the oscillation of $\hat{A}$ will be no more than the oscillation of A . A consequence is that the analogues of Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 and Theorem 2.7 hold in balls $B(x_1, r)$ with the same constants provided $r < 1$ (so that $\lambda = 1/r > 1$).

We now have what is needed to prove our main theorem.

Theorem 2.9 *Let $r \in (0, 1]$ and $\gamma > 1$. Suppose h is harmonic in $B(x_0, \gamma r)$ with respect to X and h is bounded in $\mathbb{R}^d$. There exists positive constants c_1 and β that depend on γ , the upper bound of $A(x)$ and $A(x)^{-1}$ on $B(x_0, \gamma r)$, and the modulus of continuity of $A(x)$ on $B(x_0, \gamma r)$ but otherwise is independent of h and r such that*

$$|h(x) - h(y)| \leq c_1 \left(\frac{|x - y|}{r} \right)^\beta \sup_{\mathbb{R}^d} |h(z)|$$

Proof. If one examines the proof of Krylov-Safonov carefully (see, e.g., the presentation in [1], Theorem V.7.4), one sees that one needs the support theorem and Corollary 2.8, a result such as Proposition 2.2 and estimates such as Proposition 2.1 and that with these ingredients, one can conclude that if Q is a cube of side length $r \leq 1$, $A \subset Q \subset B(x_0, r)$, and Q' is a cube with the same center as Q but side length half as long, then

$$\mathbb{P}^x(T_A < \tau_Q) \geq \varphi(|A|/|Q|) \quad \text{for } x \in Q', \quad (2.8)$$

where φ is a strictly increasing function with $\varphi(0) = 0$.

Now let $B = B(y, s)$ be a ball contained in $B(x_0, r)$ and suppose $A \subset B$ with $|A|/|B| \geq 1/3$. Let $B' = B(y, (1 - \varepsilon)s)$, where ε is chosen so that $|B \setminus B'|/|B| = 1/6$. Then $|A \cap B'|/|B| \geq 1/6$. Cover B' with N equally sized cubes whose interiors are disjoint and each contained in B . We may choose N independent of s . For at least one cube, say, Q , we must have $|A \cap B' \cap Q|/|Q| \geq 1/6$. Let Q' be the cube with the same center as Q but side length half as long. By the support theorem, if

$x \in B(y, s/2)$, there is probability at least c_2 such that $\mathbb{P}^x(T_{Q'} < \tau_B) \geq c_2$. Applying (2.8) and the strong Markov property, we have

$$\mathbb{P}^x(T_A < \tau_B) \geq c_3 > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in B(y, s/2). \quad (2.9)$$

Applying (2.9) and Proposition 2.1, the result now follows exactly as the proof in Theorem 4.1 of [3]. (We remark that line 15 on page 386 of [3] should read instead

$$(b_{k-1} - a_{k-1})\mathbb{P}^y(\tau_k < T_A) \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}(b_k - a_k)(1 - \mathbb{P}^y(T_A < \tau_k)).$$

With suitable modifications to the definition of γ and ρ , the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [3] is valid.) $\square$

3 A counterexample to the Harnack inequality

We now show that one cannot expect a Harnack inequality to hold, even when $A(x) \equiv I$, the identity matrix. We will describe ε in a moment. Write points in $\mathbb{R}^3$ as $w = (x, y, z)$ and let $w_0 = (0, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$. Write B for $B(0, 1)$, τ for τ_B , and let $F_\varepsilon = (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $C_\varepsilon = (\mathbb{R} \times F_\varepsilon) \cap B$, and $E_\varepsilon = (2, 4) \times F_\varepsilon$. Let X_t, Y_t and Z_t be independent one-dimensional symmetric α -stable processes and set $W_t = (X_t, Y_t, Z_t)$. Define $h_\varepsilon(w) = \mathbb{P}^w(W_\tau \in E_\varepsilon)$. We will show that $h_\varepsilon(0)/h_\varepsilon(w_0) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$; this implies that a Harnack inequality is not possible.

The Lévy measure $n(w, d\tilde{w})$ of W is

$$n(w, d\tilde{w}) = c \sum_{k=1}^3 |w_k - \tilde{w}_k|^{-1-\alpha} d\tilde{w}_k \prod_{j \neq k} \delta_{w_j}(d\tilde{w}_j)$$

where δ_a denotes the Dirac measure at the point a . Since all jumps of W are in directions parallel to the coordinate axes, the only way W_τ can be in E_ε is if $W_{\tau-}$ is in C_ε . This is the key observation.

We first get an upper bound on h_ε . It is well known that if $p_t(u, v)$ is the transition density for a one-dimensional symmetric stable process of index α , then p_t is everywhere strictly positive, is jointly continuous, $p_t(u, v) = t^{-1/\alpha} p_1(u/t^{1/\alpha}, v/t^{1/\alpha})$, and $p_1(u, v) \sim c_1 |u - v|^{-\alpha-1}$ for $|u - v|$ large. An integration gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^{(y,z)} \left[\int_0^\infty 1_{(-1,1)^2}(Y_s, Z_s) ds \right] \\ \leq 1 + \int_1^\infty \left(\int_{-1}^1 p_t(y, u) du \right) \left(\int_{-1}^1 p_t(z, v) dv \right) ds < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By scaling,

$$\mathbb{E}^{(y,z)} \left[\int_0^\infty 1_{F_\varepsilon}(Y_s, Z_s) ds \right] < c_2 \varepsilon^\alpha.$$

By the Lévy system formula (see [3] or [5]),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}^w \left[\sum_{s \leq t \wedge \tau} 1_{(W_{s-} \in C_\varepsilon, W_s \in E_\varepsilon)} \right] &= \mathbb{E}^w \left[\int_0^{t \wedge \tau} 1_{C_\varepsilon}(W_s) n(W_s, E_\varepsilon) ds \right] \\
&\leq c_3 \mathbb{E}^w \left[\int_0^\infty 1_{C_\varepsilon}(W_s) ds \right] \\
&\leq c_3 \mathbb{E}^{(y,z)} \left[\int_0^\infty 1_{F_\varepsilon}(Y_s, Z_s) ds \right] \\
&\leq c_2 c_3 \varepsilon^\alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$h_\varepsilon(w) = \mathbb{P}^w(W_\tau \in E_\varepsilon) \leq c_4 \varepsilon^\alpha. \tag{3.2}$$

Next we get a lower bound on $h_\varepsilon(0)$. Let $C'_\varepsilon = C_\varepsilon \cap \{|x| < 1/2\}$. By the Lévy system formula we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h_\varepsilon(0) &\geq \mathbb{E}^0 \left[\sum_{s \leq t \wedge \tau} 1_{(W_{s-} \in C'_\varepsilon, W_s \in E_\varepsilon)} \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}^0 \left[\int_0^{t \wedge \tau} 1_{C'_\varepsilon}(W_s) n(W_s, E_\varepsilon) ds \right] \\
&\geq c_5 \mathbb{E}^0 \left[\int_0^{t \wedge \tau} 1_{C'_\varepsilon}(W_s) ds \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$h_\varepsilon(0) \geq c_5 \mathbb{E}^0 \left[\int_0^\tau 1_{C'_\varepsilon}(W_s) ds \right].$$

By the scaling property of α -stable processes, if $\overline{V}$ is a one-dimensional symmetric α -stable process starting from 0 killed on exiting $[-1/4, 1/4]$, then $\varepsilon^{-1}V_t$ has the same distribution as $\overline{U}_{t/\varepsilon^\alpha}$, where $\overline{U}$ is a one-dimensional symmetric α -stable process starting from 0 killed on exiting $[-1/(4\varepsilon), 1/(4\varepsilon)]$. Hence there is a positive constant $c_6 > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $t \in (0, \varepsilon^\alpha)$,

$$\mathbb{P}^0(\overline{V}_t \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]) = \mathbb{P}^x(\overline{U}_{t/\varepsilon^\alpha} \in [-1, 1]) \geq c_6.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}^0 \left[\int_0^\infty 1_{C'_\varepsilon}(W_s) ds \right] \geq \mathbb{E}^0 \left[\int_0^{\varepsilon^\alpha} 1_{C'_\varepsilon}(\overline{W}_s) ds \right] \geq c_7 \varepsilon^\alpha,$$

where $\overline{W}$ is the process W killed when any of X, Y , or Z exceeds $1/4$ in absolute value. Therefore

$$h_\varepsilon(0) \geq c_8 \varepsilon^\alpha. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $G = (-1, 1)^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, write $\widehat{w}$ for (y, z) , and $\widehat{W}_t = (Y_t, Z_t)$. By the estimates on the transition densities, we see that

$$u(\widehat{w}) := \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{w}} \left[\int_0^\infty 1_G(\widehat{W}_s) ds \right]$$

is bounded and

$$u(\widehat{w}) \leq \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}^y(|Y_s| < 1) \mathbb{P}^z(|Z_s| < 1) ds \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.4}$$

as $|\widehat{w}| \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, for $\widehat{w} \in G$,

$$u(\widehat{w}) \geq \int_1^2 \mathbb{P}^y(|Y_s| < 1) \mathbb{P}^z(|Z_s| < 1) ds \geq c_9.$$

Now $u(\widehat{W}_{t \wedge T_B})$ is a bounded supermartingale, so by optional stopping

$$u(\widehat{w}) \geq \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{w}}[u(\widehat{W}_{T_G}); T_G < \infty] \geq c_9 \mathbb{P}^w(T_G < \infty),$$

and (3.4) then implies that $\mathbb{P}^{\widehat{w}}(T_G < \infty) \rightarrow 0$ as $\widehat{w} \rightarrow \infty$. Scaling then shows that

$$\mathbb{P}^{(1/2,0)}(T_{F_\varepsilon} < \infty) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

and hence

$$\mathbb{P}^{w_0}(T_{C_\varepsilon} < \infty) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Therefore by (3.1)-(3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} h_\varepsilon(w_0) &= \mathbb{E}^{w_0}[h_\varepsilon(W_{T_{C_\varepsilon}}); T_{C_\varepsilon} < \tau] \\ &\leq c_{10} \varepsilon^\alpha \mathbb{P}^{w_0}(T_{C_\varepsilon} < \tau) \\ &\leq c_{11} h_\varepsilon(0) \mathbb{P}^{w_0}(T_{C_\varepsilon} < \infty). \end{aligned}$$

This and (3.5) shows that $h_\varepsilon(0)/h_\varepsilon(w_0)$ can be made as large as we like by taking ε small enough and so a Harnack inequality for W is not possible.

Remark. When $\alpha < 1$, we can construct a two-dimensional example along the same lines.

References

- [1] R.F. Bass. *Diffusions and Elliptic Operators*. Springer, New York, 1997.
- [2] R.F. Bass and Z.-Q. Chen, Systems of equations driven by stable processes. *Probab. Theory rel. Fields* **134**, (2006) 175–214.
- [3] R.F. Bass and D.A. Levin. Harnack inequalities for jump processes. *Potential Anal.* **17** (2002) 375–388.
- [4] R.F. Bass and H. Tang, The martingale problem for a class of stable-like processes, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **119**(2009) 1144–1167.
- [5] Z.-Q. Chen and T. Kumagai. Heat kernel estimates for stable-like processes on d -sets. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **108** (2003) 27–62.
- [6] C. Schwab, private communication.